Effect of Tissue Inhomogeneity in Soft Tissue Sarcomas: From Real Cases to Numerical and Experimental Models

Technology in Cancer Research & Treatment Volume 17: 1-14 © The Author(s) 2018 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/1533033818789693 journals.sagepub.com/home/tct

(S)SAGE

Luca Giovanni Campana, MD, PhD^{1,2}, Marco Bullo, PhD³, Paolo Di Barba, PhD⁴, Fabrizio Dughiero, Dr.³, Michele Forzan, PhD³, Maria Evelina Mognaschi, PhD⁴, Paolo Sgarbossa, PhD³, Anna Lisa Tosi, MD⁵, Alessia Bernardis, Dr.³, and Elisabetta Sieni, PhD³[®]

Abstract

Electrochemotherapy is an established treatment option for patients with superficially metastatic tumors, mainly malignant melanoma and breast cancer. Based on preliminary experiences, electrochemotherapy has the potential to be translated in the treatment of larger and deeper neoplasms, such as soft tissue sarcomas. However, soft tissue sarcomas are characterized by tissue inhomogeneity and, consequently, by variable electrical characteristic of tumor tissue. The inhomogeneity in conductivity represents the cause of local variations in the electric field intensity. Crucially, this fact may hamper the achievement of the electroporation threshold during the electrochemotherapy procedure. In order to evaluate the effect of tissue inhomogeneity area. Subsequently, we performed some simulations where the electric field intensity was evaluated by means of finite element analysis. The results of the simulation models are finally compared to an experimental model based on potato and tissue mimic materials. Tissue mimic materials are materials where the conductivity can be suitably designed. The coupling of computation and experimental results could be helpful to show the effect of the inhomogeneity in terms of variation in electric field distribution and characteristics.

Keywords

electroporation, electric field, electrode, 3-D models, sarcomas

Abbreviations

ECT, electrochemotherapy; ESTTE, *Ex Vivo* Study on Soft Tissue Tumours Electrical Characteristics; FEM, finite element analysis; STS, soft tissue sarcomas; TC, tumor cells; TMM, tissue mimic materials

Received: December 22, 2017; Revised: April 11, 2018; Accepted: June 25, 2018.

Introduction

Electrochemotherapy (ECT) is a local anticancer therapy that is focused on the treatment of small and superficial tumors. It is based on the combination of short-voltage pulses delivered by using needle or plate electrodes and a cytotoxic drug.¹⁻⁵ In the standard clinical practice, ECT is applied by means of fixedgeometry electrodes, 7 needles with a distance of 7.3 mm hexagonally arranged, which apply the electric field in a volume close to 3 cm3 (depending on the needle length) based on the reference electroporation protocol described by Mir *et al* and

- ¹ Surgical Oncology Unit, Veneto Institute of Oncology IOV-IRCCS, Padova, Italy
- ² Department of Surgery Oncology and Gastroenterology, University of Padova, Padova, Italy
- ³ Department of Industrial Engineering, University of Padova, Padova, Italy
- ⁴ Department of Electrical, Computer and Biomedical Engineering, University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy
- ⁵ Melanoma and Sarcoma Pathology Unit, Veneto Institute of Oncology IOV-IRCCS, Padova, Italy

Corresponding Author:

Elisabetta Sieni, PhD, Department of Industrial Engineering, University of Padova, via Gradenigo 6/A, 35131 Padova, Italy. Email: elisabetta.sieni@unipd.it

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).



Figure 1. A, Schema of the 7-needle electrode and resistance values evaluated applying 8 voltage pulses at each of the 12 pairs. B and C, Resistance values for the cases in Table 1 as function of the energized pair.

Marty *et al.*^{6,7} During ECT procedure, the operator has a relatively short time interval (after chemotherapy injection) for the application of the voltage pulses. In particular, the standard operative procedures prescribe the voltage pulse application within a 20-minute time interval after chemotherapy administration.^{6,7} The drug can be a cheap and nonpermeant one in nonelectropermeabilization conditions which, thanks to its short biodisponibility, shows reduced side effects. For this reason, this type of technique shows interesting characteristics for the patient care.

Currently, ECT is applied to treat patients with superficially metastatic melanoma, skin tumors, and breast cancer recurrences on the chest wall.^{1,2,4,6-8} In recent years, this therapy has been also explored in other types of tumors, such as liver metastases and soft tissue sarcomas (STS), with promising results.⁹⁻¹³

The treatment of STS with ECT poses some peculiar challenges, due to their size, anatomical location, heterogeneity, and histological characteristics. In fact, patients with STS present large and usually deep-seated (eg, intramuscular) tumors and the tumor can arise from very different tissues (connective, adipose, muscular, nervous, etc). Finally, each single STS can be highly inhomogeneous from the histological point of view, due to the presence of different components within it (viable tumor cells [TCs], portions of tumor tissue necrosis, myxoid material, etc). Inhomogeneity in the tissue modifies the electric field distribution. This effect was already shown, for instance, in 2008 by Sersa *et al.*¹⁴ In particular, they evaluated the effect of vasculature in the electric field distribution.¹⁴

In a previous analysis,¹⁵ the authors found differences in the resistance values evaluated for different needle pairs (needle

pair schema in Figure 1A) in the same voltage pulse application as shown in Figure 1B. The resistance at each electrode pair was evaluated following the method used in *Ex Vivo* Study on Soft Tissue Tumours Electrical Characteristics (ESTTE) protocol.¹⁵⁻¹⁸ This resistance variation could be justified by tissue inhomogeneity.

Histopathological analysis has highlighted some interesting cases of inhomogeneity that occurs in real tumors. This analysis allowed to isolate some interesting configurations that were analyzed by means of finite element analysis (FEM) and experimental models. The finite element simulations were used to evaluate the electric field intensity in some simplified geometries. In particular, in order to evaluate the electric field intensity in different inhomogeneity cases, a 2-needle model, suitably supplied, has been simulated. Simulation results were compared with experiments on suitable phantoms.

Material and Methods

Histopathological Analysis

The patient data were recorded following the ESTTE protocol described in the study by Tosi *et al*,^{15,17,19} evaluating each cases at histological point of view as in those works according to the World Health Organization classification of tumors of soft tissue and bone.²⁰ Table 1 reports the tumor type and the stroma type. In particular to each specimen, a 7-needle electrode (Figure 2A for an electrode schema) was implanted and a sequence of 96 voltage pulses (8 pulses per each of the possible needle pairs), 100 μ s long at 5 kHz with amplitude 730 V (needle distance 7.3 mm), was applied. After pulse application,

Patient	Tumor Type	Stroma/Fat Cell	$R_{\mathrm{av}}\left(\Omega\right)$	D (µm)	Note
P12	Well-differentiated liposarcoma	Fibrous + fat cells	$\begin{array}{r} 256.8 \pm 40.6 \\ 433.5 \pm 81.8 \end{array}$	AA 89.4 ± 27.6 AA 79.6 ± 21.1	Two electroporation points
P15 P15	Dedifferentiated liposarcoma Dedifferentiated liposarcoma	Fibrous+ fat cells Fibrous + fat cells	101.1 ± 13.8 101.1 + 13.8	TC 9.5 \pm 3.9 AA 76.1 + 24.8	Two histology images
P16	Desmoid-type fibromatosis	Fibrous+ fat cells	120.0 ± 31.7	TC 9.9 \pm 4.1	
P18	Myxoid liposarcoma	Myxoid+ fat cells	52.9 ± 11.6	TC 6.3 ± 2.0 AA 18.3 ± 7.3	

Table 1. Data of Excised Mass Analyzed Including Type of Tumor and Stroma, Average Size of Cells, and Average Resistivity Evaluated in the study by Campana et al.¹⁵

Abbreviations: AA, atypical adipocytic; TC, tumor cells.



Figure 2. Electric field distribution in (A) homogeneous model. B and C, Different configuration of the model B in Figure 3. The gray rectangle shows the potato area.

samples were fixed in 10% buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. For each sample, the average resistance of the sample and the size of TC and atypical adipocytic component evaluated as in the study by Tosi *et al*^{15,17,19} are also reported.

The inhomogeneity analysis has been performed comparing the area of inhomogeneity in real cases and the area covered by the standard 7-needle electrode. In this case, the 7-needle electrode was superposed to the $1 \times$ image according to the image scale and the electrode sizes. An example is in Figure 3. Moreover, for the points from P₁ to P_N, magnified images were captured and shown near the $1 \times$ image. In the $1 \times$ image, the size of the histology sample is reported.

Computation Model

A simple parallelepiped model (35 mm \times 50 mm \times 10 mm) has been used in 3-dimensional numerical computations.²¹⁻²⁵ The model includes 2 needles (1.0 cm long, 0.5 mm diameter, and an interneedle distance, *d* of 7.3 mm, inserted into the parallelepiped), as shown in Figure 4, in accordance with proposed literature models.^{26,27} The parallelepiped volume was divided into 2 or 3 subvolumes, each one characterized by a different conductivity value. The different subvolumes considered are sketched in Figure 5. The difference in conductivity of the subvolumes would mimic the inhomogeneity of the tumor tissue as shown in the previous analysis (eg, in Figure 3).





Figure 4. Three-dimensional numerical model for the 2 needle case²⁶: (A) problem geometry and (B) electric field intensity sampling line.

The electric field intensity due to the voltage applied between a pair of needles was computed using FEM as proposed by more research groups.^{25,28-32} The electric field intensity has been computed by means of finite element simulator (COMSOL; https://www.comsol.it/), solving Laplace equation in static condition. Then, an electrical conduction problem on electric scalar potential, *V*, imposing a constant potential on the needle surfaces^{29,33} and considering a conductivity dependent on electric field^{29,34-37} $\sigma(E)$ was solved as follows:

$$\nabla \cdot \sigma(E) \nabla V = 0$$
 inside the parallepiped. (1)

The potential imposed to the 2 needle surfaces was +730/2 V for electrode 1 in Figure 4 and -730/2 V for electrode 2 in Figure 4, according to the study by Marty *et al* and Mir *et al.*^{6,7} Finally, a tangent condition of electric field lines was imposed on the external boundary of the model as in the study by Ongaro *et al*^{26,27}:

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial n} = 0$$
 on external boundary. (2)

The conductivity $\sigma(E)$ in some cases was posed constant and in others follows the nonlinear model proposed by Breton *et al*³⁵ and used in^{36,37}:

$$\sigma(E) = \sigma_0 + \frac{\sigma_{\rm EP} - \sigma_0}{2} \left(1 + \tanh\left(k_{\rm v}(E - E_{\rm th})\right) \right), \qquad (3)$$

where σ_0 and σ_{EP} are the conductivity of the nonelectropored and electropored tissue, respectively, and k_v and E_{th} are parameters obtained fitting experimental data as in the study by Campana *et al* and Dughiero *et al.*^{36,37} For instance, possible parameter values for Equation 3 are $\sigma_0 = 0.04$ S/m, $\sigma_{EP} = 0.12$ S/m, $k_v = 0.0004$ m/V, $E_{th} = 11500$ V/m (potato as in the study by Breton *et al*³⁵) or $\sigma_0 = 0.2$ S/m, $\sigma_{EP} = 0.8$ S/m, $k_v = 0.0004$ m/V, $E_{th} = 9000$ V/m (epidermis as in the study by Pavšelj *et al*^{30,38}). The parameters used in this article were evaluated experimentally by measurements.

A schematic representation of the models with 2 needles is shown in Figure 5. In these models, σ_1 and σ_2 represent different conductivities suitably designed in order to be lower or comparable to the one of the electroporated potato, according to the combinations of gel and potato in Table 2. In particular, 2



Figure 5. Different arrangement of the numerical models with 2 needles considering different inhomogeneity cases.

1	-					
	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6
Geometry	А	В	В	С	С	Figure 4
σ_1	Potato	Potato	Gel	Potato	Gel	Potato
σ_2	Gel	Gel	Potato	Gel	Potato	Gel
Simulation (S)/test (T)	S/T	S/T	S/T	S/T	S	S/T
Gel type	SC1, D2	SC1', D2'				

Table 2. Setups for Numerical and Experimental Models.

Table 3. List of Ingredients for the Preparation of Phantom Materials.

	D2 (g)	SC1 (g)	D2' (g)	SC1' (g)	
Glycerin	2.00	0.90	2.00	0.90	
Corn flour	25.0	30.0	25.0	30.0	
Gelatin	_	0.60	_	0.60	
Agar	1.00	_	1.00	_	
Sodium azide	0.80	0.30	_	_	
Sodium chloride	0.20	_	1	0.30	
Water	Point 1: 20 m L + point 2: 50 mL				

types of gel with different conductivity were used. The electric field has been sampled on the parallelepiped surface (xy layer) and 2-imensional equilevel maps were shown.^{27,28}

Tissue Mimic Materials

The gel phantoms, made of tissue mimic materials (TMM), have been produced according to a slightly modified procedure as the one proposed in the study by Mobashsher and Abbosh.³⁹ Gelatin, water, agar, corn flour, glycerin, sodium azide (NaN₃), and sodium chloride (NaCl) were commercially available and used as received. The list and amount of starting ingredients for the production of the phantoms is reported in Table 3.^{36,37}

The procedure for the preparation of the materials D2 and SC1 follows the procedure in the study by Campana *et al* and Dughiero *et al*,^{36,37} whereas the one for the preparation of the modified TMM (D2' and SC1') follows the steps reported below (NaN₃, was substituted by NaCl). First, the corn flour is mixed in a beaker with 20 mL of deionized water and glycerin at room temperature, while in a second beaker other 50 mL of deionized water was used to dissolve the NaCl and the gelatin or agar. The content of the second beaker is heated using a microwave oven (Qlive, 700 W microwave) for 30" (mix final temperature close to 90°C). The 2 mixtures were

mixed and heated by means of a microwave oven and stirred vigorously until the whole mixture turns semisolid (the total heating time depends on the dielectric properties of materials). The TMM is finally cast into boxes with the suitable sizes for experiments. In the experiments with potatoes, both the 2 types of gels, D2, SC1, D2', and SC1', were used.

Voltage Pulses

Voltage pulses were applied by means of plate electrode or 2-needle electrode connected to the generator EPS02 manufactured by Igea S.p.A., Carpi (MO), Italy. At electrode extremities, 8 rectangular voltage pulses, 100 μ s long (duty cycle 50%) at 5 kHz, were applied. Voltage amplitude varied according to the electrode distance (eg, from 100 to 700 V for plate electrode and 730 V for the 2-needle or 7-needle electrode). The plate electrode was supplied with voltage pulses applied considering the same polarity for the plates (voltage pulse sequence, VPS8), whereas the 8 pulses of the 2-needle electrode were applied changing the polarity of the needles after 4 pulses (VPS4).

Experimental Tests

In experimental tests, a combination of potato samples and TMM was used. In fact, it is well known that potato became dark few hours after electroporation.^{28,40,41} All the samples were preserved covered by plastic film at room temperature and observed for 24 hours after pulses applications as in the study by Ongaro *et al* and Campana *et al*.^{28,42}

The experimental tests were performed in 2 steps. The aim of the first step (step 1) is the evaluation of the conductivity of potato and gels obtained following the new procedure and the conductivity of the potato tuber. The experimental setup is illustrated in Figure 6A. In this case, each box of the chamber slide was filled by one of the gels or by potato samples. In



Figure 6. Experimental setup for the step 1 (A) and step 2 (B, C).

particular, gels were cast avoiding air bubbles. For each type of gel, the plate electrode was positioned as in Figure 6A and was supplied with 8 pulses following the sequence VPS8. In this case, the voltage amplitude applied to gels was 100 and 500 V according to Campana *et al* and Dughiero *et al*.^{36,37}

From voltage V and current I, measured by EPS02, the conductivity σ (in S/m) has been computed from the estimated resistance, R = V/I, of a parallelepiped with section A (10 mm × 11.3 mm) and a plate distance L of 7 mm (Figure 7A):

$$\sigma = R^{-1} \frac{L}{A}.$$
 (4)

The resistivity ρ (in Ω ·m) is the inverse of the conductivity σ , $\rho = \sigma^{-1}$.

In the case of the potato samples, the voltage amplitude was varied in the range 100 to 700 V in order to evaluate the parameters of Equation 3 according to Campana *et al* and Dughiero *et al.*^{36,37} The color of potato sample was related to the sample resistivity as in the study by Bernardis *et al.*⁴³ Experiments were repeated at least twice, and the resulting conductivity is the average value.

The second step (step 2) helped evaluating the electric field distribution in inhomogeneous cases. In this step, the

setup with the 2 needles (Figure 6B) was considered. The cases shown in Figure 6C were analyzed. In these experiments, the voltage amplitude was set to 730 V and the electrode was supplied with 8 pulses following the sequence VPS4 described at paragraph 2.5. After 24 hours, a picture was taken.

Results

Histopathological Analysis Results

Figure 7 shows some interesting real cases in terms of inhomogeneity of the tumor tissues. In some cases, the tissue is composed by fat cells close to areas of fibrous tissues. In other cases, the inhomogeneities in adjacent areas are due to differences in cell density.

Moreover, Figure 8 shows that the inhomogeneities areas are macroscopic. In fact, superposing to the histology image with magnification $1 \times$ the area covered by a standard 7-needle electrode (dotted lines in Figure 8), the inhomogeneities between a needle pair appear to be evident.

Experimental Results for Material Characterization

The experiments performed to characterize the electrical conductivity of TMM and potatoes are resumed in Table 3. Potato







Figure 8. Images of real specimens with the electrode area superposition and zoom of some interesting points in terms of inhomogeneity. (A) Dedifferentiated liposarcoma (P15 different area with respect to Figure 5) and (B) desmoid-type fibromatosis (P16).

conductivity as a function of the applied electric field is represented by a sigmoid function as the ones in Equation 3. The parameters of Equation 3 were evaluated by means of a fit of experimental data as in the study by Campana *et al* and Dughiero *et al.*^{36,37} In particular, there is no relevant variations in the gel conductivities applying an electric field of 143 V/cm or of 715 V/cm (Table 4), whereas the difference in conductivity is relevant for potato samples as reported in Tables 4 and 5.

Considering the data reported in Table 5, it appears that, if the applied electric field increases, the resistivity decreases. From 143 to 286 V/cm, the variation in resistivity is larger than 40%, whereas for stronger electric field, it is close to 15% to 20%. From data in Table 5, the $E_{\rm th}$ threshold and $k_{\rm v}$ values in Equation 3 were evaluated fitting the experimental data by means of the minimum least square method. In this case, the $E_{\rm th}$ threshold results equal to 238 V/cm and, considering the conductivity in Table 5, it appears that if the falling of the conductivity is close to 45% between 214 and 286 V/m, then it is close to the electric field at which occurs the half of conductivity gap. This value is coherent to the ones in the literature.^{35,40} Finally, the coefficient k_v results equal to 0.0184 cm/V.

Computation Results

Numerical computations were performed on the potato–gel phantom models following setup in Table 3 and considering conductivity data in Tables 4 and 5. Figure 2 reports the simulation results for model A in Figure 5 and the electric field evaluated in a homogeneous model (only potato). Figure 9 shows the electric field distribution in model B in Figure 5 and, finally, in Figure 10, and the electric field distribution in model C in Figure 5 is shown.

Figure 9 shows that the electric field distribution is affected by the conductivity of the band inserted into the parallelepiped. In particular, the lower the conductivity of the band, the greater the area of electroporated tissue (for potato, the electroporated value is considered, $\rho(E) > 700$ V/cm).

In the cases in Figure 10, the electric field distribution is affected by the conductivities of the material in the cylinder between the needles. Also in these cases, the cylinder modifies,

	ρ (E = 143 V/cm), Ω/m	$\rho (E > 700 \text{ V/cm})^{a}, \Omega/m$	σ ($E = 143$ V/cm), S/m	$\sigma (E > 700 \text{ V/cm})^{a}, \Omega/m$
D2	0.78 ± 0.09	0.83 ± 0.03	1.30 ± 0.14	1.20 ± 0.5
SC1	3.38 ± 0.06	3.33 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.01	0.3 ± 0.02
D2′	0.64 ± 0.05	0.66 ± 0.02	1.57 ± 0.13	1.52 ± 0.04
SC1'	2.18 ± 0.09	2.16 ± 0.2	0.46 ± 0.02	0.47 ± 0.04
Potato	5.7	1.4	0.18 ± 0.05	0.73 ± 0.17

Table 4. Gel and Potato Conductivity at Different Electric Field Intensity.

^a715 V/cm for SC1' and D2' and 1000 V/cm for SC1 and D2.

Table 5. Potato Resistivity and Conductivity Varying the Applied Electric Field and Parameters of Equation 3 Evaluated From Experimental Data.

V (V)	E (V/cm)	$\rho \; (\Omega \; m)$	σ (S/m)	V (V)	E (V/cm)	$\rho \; (\Omega \; m)$	σ (S/m)
0	0			400	571	1.6	0.63
100	143	5.7	0.18	500	714	1.4	0.73
150	214	3.0	0.33	600	857	1.6	0.63
200	286	1.6	0.62	700	1000	1.2	0.81
$E_{\rm th}$	238 V/cm	$k_{ m v}$	0.0184 cm/V	σ_0 (S/m)	0.17	$\sigma_{EP}~(S\!/\!m)$	0.70



Figure 9. Electric field distribution of different configurations of the model B in Figure 3. The gray rectangle shows the potato area.

according to the conductivity, the electric field lines. For instance, electric field intensity has a different behavior at the interface with the cylinder, depending on the material, D2 gel, SC1 gel, or potato.

Comparing the electric field distribution in the homogeneous case (Figure 2A) and the ones in the inhomogeneous

cases, it is evident that the position of the line at 300 V/cm change considering different gel properties with respect to homogeneous cases. Its position is modified also in the potato tissue. The same occurs for the cases in Figures 9 and 10. The 300 V/cm electric field level is close to the electric field threshold for potato electroporation previously identified.^{40,41,43}



Figure 10. Electric field distribution of different configurations of the model c in Figure 3. The gray rectangle shows the potato area.

Experimental Results

Figure 11 shows the results of the experiments on potatoes. From Figure 11A, it appears that the potato piece close to the gel D2 shows an electroporated area greater than the potato approached to SC1 gel. The SC1 gel has a lower conductivity than D2. In the case of the cylinder, the electric field able to electroporate the potato covers a greater area compared to the case with the D2 gel, according to the computational results in Figure 10. The same accordance is with the strip geometry with D2 gel, where the electroporated area is larger than that in the SC1 gel case. This fact is in accordance with the simulation results where the position of the 300 V/cm electric field level was evaluated. Consequently, the area where the electric field is higher than 300 V/cm could be larger or shorter with respect to the homogeneous case. This fact is reflected also by the amplitude of the dark area in experimental results. For instance, if the material of the cylinder (eg, D2) in Figure 10A has a lower resistivity than the external tissue, the electric field intensity is lower than the one obtained considering the cylinder made on SC1 (higher resistivity with respect to the external tissue in electroporation condition). This fact is reflected also in the intensity of electroporation as evidenced in the experimental results (Figure 11C) where the D2 case shows a less dark intensity than the SC1 case.

Table 6 reports the amplitude of the voltage (it is set to 728 V for all cases) and current pulses. It appears that different

experimental setups show different current amplitudes. The current amplitude is coherent with the inhomogeneity and the distribution of the electric field. For instance, if we consider the 2 models with the cylinder, in the case of the cylinder made of a more conductive material, for example, D2, the current is higher with respect to the case of the homogeneous model (only potato) and the one that considers a less conductive material, SC1, in the cylinder. On the contrary, in the model with the inhomogeneity shaped as a strip, the current value is higher when the material with higher conductivity, D2, is involved.

Discussion

The histological analysis of the presented sarcoma shows that in some cases the inhomogeneity of the tissues could be evident and very different from the electrical point of view. For instance, this arrangement, as shown in Figures 1 and 8, can generate a different distribution of the electric field, since the conductivity of fibrous tissue in nonelectroporated conditions is close to .8 S/m (the fibrous tissue could be considered, eg, approximately similar to cartilage tissue), whereas the conductivity of fat is close to.012 S/m.⁴⁴ Then, in this point, a discontinuity of normal component of the conduction field at the interface occurs. In fact, if the inhomogeneities of the tissue are macroscopic, as shown in Figure 8, in the area covered by the standard 7-needle electrode, some needle pairs can be inserted



Figure 11. Potato experiments (dark area is electroporated): (A) panel A only potato, panels B and C model A in Figure 3, (B) model B in Figure 3, and (C) model C in Figure 3.

Setup	Voltage (V)	Current (A)	Setup	Voltage (V)	Current (A)
Potato	728	4.7	p SC1 p	728	2.7
Potato	728	4.5	p SC1 p	728	2.5
P and D2 cylinder	728	3.8	p D2 p	728	7.0
P and D2 cylinder	728	3.9	p D2 p	728	7.1
P and SC1 cylinder	728	5.4	D2 p D2	728	7.9
P and SC1 cylinder	728	5.3	D2 p D2	728	7.2
-			SC1 p SC1	728	3.6
			SC1 p SC1	728	3.7

Table 6. Voltage and Current Amplitude of the Pulses Applied to the Different Experimental Setup.^a

^ap represents potato tissue.

into different tissue types modifying the electric field distribution.

The effect of inhomogeneity is also evident in the analysis of the resistance related to the analyzed specimens. In fact, comparing data in Figure 1 and the histological images in Figures 7 and 8, the resistance variability is in accordance with the homogeneity or inhomogeneity in the tissue. For instance, for the cases P15, P16, and P18, the resistance is under 180 Ω (it varies in a range 40-160 Ω) and it could be noted that the value is more constant in case P18 where the tissue is more homogeneous (Figure 7B), whereas in the cases P15 and P16 varies substantially. The same behavior can be observed in the case P12 (2 different points were analyzed), but in this case the variation of the resistance is larger since it varies between 200 and 550 Ω . In this case, some area of fibrous tissue can be evidenced in the fatty tissue. The large volume of fat tissue increases the tissue resistivity. These differences could be due to the tissue inhomogeneity of the electroporated specimen as shown in Figures 7 and 8, since in the more homogeneous sample (P18) these variations are limited in a band of approximately 20 Ω . In fact, in the P12 specimen, the fatty component prevails with respect to the fibrous component.

These observations are also evident in the simulations obtained using potato and gel conductivity and in experimental models. In fact, the position of a specified electric field level is modified in the areas where the electrical conductivity changes. The displacement of the level line with a specified electric field intensity depends on the electrical conductivity. For instance, in the cases in Figure 9, if the strip in the middle has a lower conductivity with respect to the other volumes, panels A and D, electric field line at 300 V/cm (at this electric field level in potato, the electroporation is occurred^{40,45}) forms a larger band. For potato, the value of electroporated tissue is considered since the electric field in the middle of the 2 needles has an intensity able to electroporate potato cells.^{40,46} On the contrary, in the cases shown in panels B and C, where the strip is more conductive with respect to the other 2 volumes, the electric field line at 300 V/cm forms a narrow strip. A similar behavior is shown in potato experiments in Figure 11. Considering the models where the inhomogeneity is like a cylinder, the electric field shows a behavior similar to the case that considers a strip with different electrical conductivities. Moreover, the positions of the different electric field levels in the cases of Figure 10 are different from the one obtained considering a homogeneous parallelepiped made only of potato tissue (Figure 2A). For instance, considering the potato with the cylinder made of SC1, the electric field line at 400 V/cm includes a larger area than the case of the cylinder made of D2, which is a more conductive material. Instead, the electric field line at 300 V/ cm covers approximately the same area. This fact is reflected on the potato experiments where the potato shows a larger electroporated area in the SC1 case. The difference in electric field levels is also reflected in the current values reported in Table 6. In particular, the cylindrical inhomogeneity in the middle of the needle pair modifies the current value in opposite way with respect to the inhomogeneity shaped as a strip. In the case of the high-conductivity cylinder made of D2, the current is lower than that in the high-conductivity cylinder made of SC1.

The TMM and simulations were used by the author as a model to describe the electric field distributes in inhomogeneous tissues. In fact, the resistivity of the TMM could be easily designed changing the material composition. Consequently, it could be made close to the one of real tissue. On the other hand, potato tissue is useful to show electroporation effect since it becomes dark if cell electroporation occurs.⁴⁰ This way simulation and potato with gel experiment appear to be a useful model to compare experimental data and simulation results, since electrical properties of gel and potato could be known in an easy way like in the study by Bernardis *et al.*⁴³

The results showed the effect of the tissue inhomogeneity, opening the question about the effective distribution of the electric field in inhomogeneous tissues and the effective electroporation of the cells in the area of interest. In tissues, the effective electroporation has to be evaluated by means of suitable experiments. This aspect could be partially solved by a simulation model where the electric field intensity can be computed in all model area and compared with the known electroporation threshold. In this way, the voltage can be modified in order to obtain the optimal electric field intensity in inhomogeneous tissues modifying the applied voltage until all the treated area is covered by an electric field larger than the selected threshold. Nevertheless, the electric inhomogeneity is not a well-known parameter without a histological analysis. Consequently, it is not easy to define a formula to guarantee electroporation in this type of tissues. A possible solution could be increasing the pulse number in order to improve electroporation also at lower electric field levels.^{26,47}

Consequently, evaluating the analysis of the results obtained, the average electric field can be considered as a useful prediction of the effective electroporation zone even if only in some cases. In fact, it could be a good prediction if the electrical properties of different areas are close to each other, but it is not if the inhomogeneity areas show very different electrical properties.

Finally, this approach is difficult to apply in practice since it is not possible to know in advance the real inhomogeneity of the tissue in the treated tumor and the position of the needles with respect to the inhomogeneity. Nevertheless, this evaluation could show the behavior of the electric field in some inhomogeneous cases and it could evidence why in some cases the treatment could not be effective.

Conclusions

In this article, the authors showed how the electrical inhomogeneity of the tissues can affect the electric field applied in standard ECT. The effect of tissue inhomogeneity was analyzed using the macroscopic variation of the measured resistance coupled with the histological evidence of the treated volume. The effect of these differences on the electric field distribution was studied using some experimental phantoms where the tissue electrical characteristics were suitably designed. The experimental results were compared with simulation results. The effects of the tissue electrical properties on the electric field distribution were evidenced. The proposed analytical analysis is able to show the effect of the inhomogeneity in the tissues and how they can affect the therapy effectiveness.

Acknowledgments

The research was partially made possible thanks to the networking COST TD1104 action (http://www.electroporation.net). The authors are grateful to Igea spa, Carpi (MO), Italy, for the pulse generator loan.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: Project granted by CPDA138001 (Padua University).

ORCID iD

Elisabetta Sieni, PhD D http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5297-0576

References

- Mir LM, Glass LF, Sersa G, et al. Effective treatment of cutaneous and subcutaneous malignant tumours by electrochemotherapy. *Br J Cancer*. 1998;77(12):2336-2342.
- Campana L, Mocellin S, Basso M, et al. Bleomycin-based electrochemotherapy: clinical outcome from a single institution's experience with 52 patients. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2009;16(1): 191-199. doi:10.1245/s10434-008-0204-8.
- Miklavčič D, Mir LM, Thomas Vernier P. Electroporation-based technologies and treatments. *J Membr Biol*. 2010;236(1):1-2. doi: 10.1007/s00232-010-9287-9.
- Campana L, Valpione S, Falci C, et al. The activity and safety of electrochemotherapy in persistent chest wall recurrence from breast cancer after mastectomy: a phase-II study. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2012;134(3):1169-1178. doi:10.1007/s10549-012-2095-4.
- Sersa G, Cufer T, Paulin SM, Cemazar M, Snoj M. Electrochemotherapy of chest wall breast cancer recurrence. *Cancer Treat Rev.* 2012;38(5):379-386. doi:10.1016/j.ctrv.2011.07.006.
- Marty M, Sersa G, Garbay JR, et al. Electrochemotherapy—an easy, highly effective and safe treatment of cutaneous and subcutaneous metastases: results of ESOPE (European Standard Operating Procedures of Electrochemotherapy) study. *Europ J Cancer Suppl.* 2006;4(11):3-13. doi:10.1016/j.ejcsup.2006. 08.002.
- Mir LM, Gehl J, Sersa G, et al. Standard operating procedures of the electrochemotherapy: instructions for the use of bleomycin or cisplatin administered either systemically or locally and electric pulses delivered by the Cliniporator by means of invasive or noninvasive electrodes. *Ejc Suppl.* 2006;4(11):14-25.
- Campana LG, Falci C, Basso M, Sieni E, Dughiero F. Clinical electrochemotherapy for chest wall recurrence from breast cancer. In: *Electroporation-Based Therapies for Cancer*. Elsevier; 2014: 3-33. http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/B97819075681 52500029. Accessed July, 2018.
- Spugnini EP, Renaud SM, Buglioni S, et al. Electrochemotherapy with cisplatin enhances local control after surgical ablation of fibrosarcoma in cats: an approach to improve the therapeutic index of highly toxic chemotherapy drugs. *J Transl Med.* 2011; 9(1):152. doi:10.1186/1479-5876-9-152.
- Neal RE, Rossmeisl JH, Garcia PA, Lanz OI, Henao-Guerrero N, Davalos RV. Successful treatment of a large soft tissue sarcoma with irreversible electroporation. *J Clin Oncol.* 2011;29(13): e372-e377. doi:10.1200/JCO.2010.33.0902.
- Campana L, Bianchi G, Mocellin S, et al. Electrochemotherapy treatment of locally advanced and metastatic soft tissue sarcomas: results of a non-comparative phase II study. *World J Surg.* 2014; 38(4):813-822. doi:10.1007/s00268-013-2321-1.
- Sersa G, Cemazar M, Snoj M. Electrochemotherapy of solid tumors—preclinical and clinical experience. In: Annual International Conference of the IEEE on Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, EMBC, 2011; 2011:728-731.
- 13. Edhemovic I, Gadzijev EM, Brecelj E, et al. Electrochemotherapy: a new technological approach in treatment of metastases in the liver. *Technol Cancer Res Treat*. 2011;10(5):475-485.

- Sersa G, Jarm T, Kotnik T, et al. Vascular disrupting action of electroporation and electrochemotherapy with bleomycin in murine sarcoma. *Brit J Cancer*. 2008;98(2):388-398. doi:10.1038/sj. bjc.6604168.
- Campana LG, Cesari M, Dughiero F, et al. Electrical resistance of human soft tissue sarcomas: an ex vivo study on surgical specimens. *Med Biol Eng Comput.* 2016;54(5):773-787. doi:10.1007/ s11517-015-1368-6.
- Miklavčič D, Serša G, Brecelj E, et al. Electrochemotherapy: technological advancements for efficient electroporation-based treatment of internal tumors. *Med Biol Eng Comput.* 2012; 50(12):1213-1225. doi:10.1007/s11517-012-0991-8.
- Tosi AL, Campana LG, Dughiero F, et al. Histological characteristics of soft tissue sarcomas correlated to electrical resistance. In: Jarm T, Kramar P, eds. *1st World Congress on Electroporation and Pulsed Electric Fields in Biology, Medicine and Food & Environmental Technologies*. Vol 53. *IFMBE Proceedings*. Singapore: Springer; 2016:290-293. doi:10.1007/978-981-287-817-5_64.
- Campana L, Dughiero F, Forzan M, et al. Electrical resistance of tumor tissue during electroporation: an ex-vivo study on human lipomatous tumors. In: Lacković I, Vasic D, eds. 6th European Conference of the International Federation for Medical and Biological Engineering. Vol 45. IFMBE Proceedings. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2015:569-572. doi:10.1007/ 978-3-319-11128-5_142.
- Tosi AL, Campana LG, Dughiero F, et al. Microscopic histological characteristics of soft tissue sarcomas: analysis of tissue features and electrical resistance. *Med Biol Eng Comput.* 2017;55(7): 1097-1108. doi:10.1007/s11517-016-1573-y.
- World Health Organization, International Agency for Research on Cancer. In: Fletcher CDM, ed. WHO Classification of Tumours of Soft Tissue and Bone. 4th ed. Lyon, France: IARC Press; 2013.
- Pavšelj N, Miklavčič D. Numerical modeling in electroporationbased biomedical applications. *Radiol Oncol.* 2008;42(3): 159-168. doi:10.2478/v10019-008-0008-2.
- Dimbylow PJ. Current densities in a 2 mm resolution anatomically realistic model of the body induced by low frequency electric fields. *Phys Med Biol.* 2000;45:1013-1022.
- Mognaschi ME. Field models in low-frequency bioelectromagnetics [in Polish]. *Przegląd Elektrotechniczny*. 2016;1(12):1-4. doi:10.15199/48.2016.12.01.
- Dughiero F, Forzan M, Sieni E. A numerical evaluation of electromagnetic fields exposure on real human body models until 100 kHz. *COMPEL: Int J Computat Maths Elect Electron Eng.* 2010; 29(6):1552-1561.
- Corovic S, Zupanic A, Miklavcic D. Numerical modeling and optimization of electric field distribution in subcutaneous tumor treated with electrochemotherapy using needle electrodes. *Plasma Sci IEEE Trans.* 2008;36(4):1665-1672. doi:101109/ TPS20082000996.
- 26. Ongaro A, Campana LG, De Mattei M, et al. Effect of electrode distance in electrochemotherapy: from numerical model to in vitro tests. In: Jarm T, Kramar P, eds. 1st World Congress on Electroporation and Pulsed Electric Fields in Biology, Medicine and Food & Environmental Technologies. Vol 53. IFMBE

Proceedings. Singapore: Springer; 2016:167-170. doi:10.1007/ 978-981-287-817-5_37.

- Ongaro A, Pellati A, Caruso A, et al. Identification of in vitro electropermeabilization equivalent pulse protocols. *Technol Cancer Res Treat*. 2011;10(5):465-473.
- Ongaro A, Campana LG, De Mattei M, et al. Evaluation of the electroporation efficiency of a grid electrode for electrochemotherapy: from numerical model to in vitro tests. *Technol Cancer Res Treat*. 2016;15(2):296-307. doi:10.1177/1533034615582350.
- Corovic S, Lackovic I, Sustaric P, Sustar T, Rodic T, Miklavcic D. Modeling of electric field distribution in tissues during electroporation. *Biomed Eng Online*. 2013;12(1):16.
- Pavšelj N, Miklavcic D. Numerical models of skin electropermeabilization taking into account conductivity changes and the presence of local transport regions. *Plasma Sci IEEE Transact.* 2008; 36(4):1650-1658. doi:101109/TPS2008928715.
- Čorović S, Županič A, Kranjc S, et al. The influence of skeletal muscle anisotropy on electroporation: in vivo study and numerical modeling. *Med Biol Eng Comput.* 2010;48(7):637-648. doi:10. 1007/s11517-010-0614-1.
- Kos B, Zupanic A, Kotnik T, Snoj M, Sersa G, Miklavcic D. Robustness of treatment planning for electrochemotherapy of deep-seated tumors. *J Membrane Biol.* 2010;236(1):147-153. doi:10.1007/s00232-010-9274-1.
- Campana LG, Di Barba P, Dughiero F, Rossi CR, Sieni E. Optimal needle positioning for electrochemotherapy: a constrained multiobjective strategy. *IEEE Trans Magnet*. 2013;49(5): 2141-2144. doi:10.1109/TMAG.2013.2241031.
- Sel D, Cukjati D, Batiuskaite D, Slivnik T, Mir LM, Miklavcic D. Sequential finite element model of tissue electropermeabilization. *Biomed Eng IEEE Trans.* 2005;52(5):816-827. doi:10.1109/ TBME.2005.845212.
- Breton M, Buret F, Krahenbuhl L, et al. Non-linear steady-state electrical current modeling for the electropermeabilization of biological tissue. *IEEE Trans Magnet*. 2015;51(3):1-4. doi:10.1109/ TMAG.2014.2351836.
- 36. Campana LG, Di Barba Paolo ME, Mognaschi, et al. Electrical resistance in inhomogeneous samples during electroporation. In: 14th International Conference on Synthesis, Modeling, Analysis and Simulation Methods and Applications to Circuit Design— SMACD 2017. Italy: Giardini Naxos, Taormina; 2017. doi:10. 1109/SMACD.2017.7981596.
- Dughiero F, Forzan M, Sieni E. Simple 3D fem models for evaluation of EM exposure produced by welding equipments. IN: *Studies in Applied Electromagnetics and Mechanics*. 2009;34: 911-919.

- Pavšelj N, Préat V, Miklavčič D. A numerical model of skin electropermeabilization based on in vivo experiments. *Ann Biomed Eng.* 2007;35(12):2138-2144. doi:10.1007/s10439-007-9378-7.
- Mobashsher AT, Abbosh AM. Three-dimensional human head phantom with realistic electrical properties and anatomy. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc.* 2014;13:1401-1404. doi:10.1109/ LAWP.2014.2340409.
- Ivorra A, Mir LM, Rubinsky B. Electric field redistribution due to conductivity changes during tissue electroporation: experiments with a simple vegetal model. In: Dössel O, Schlegel W, eds. *World Congress on Medical Physics and Biomedical Engineering, September 7-12, 2009*, Vol 25/13. *IFMBE Proceedings*. Munich, Germany: Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 2010:59-62. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-03895-2_18.
- 41. Castellví Q, Banús J, Ivorra A. 3D assessment of irreversible electroporation treatments in vegetal models. In: Jarm T, Kramar P, eds. 1st World Congress on Electroporation and Pulsed Electric Fields in Biology, Medicine and Food & Environmental Technologies. Vol 53. Singapore: Springer; 2016:294-297. http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-981-287-817-5_65. Accessed July, 2018.
- Campana LG, Dughiero F, Forzan M, Rossi CR, Sieni E. A prototype of a flexible grid electrode to treat widespread superficial tumors by means of electrochemotherapy. *Radiol Oncol.* 2016; 50(1):49-57. doi:10.1515/raon-2016-0013.
- Bernardis A, Bullo M, Campana LG, et al. Electric field computation and measurements in the electroporation of inhomogeneous samples. *Open Physics*. 2017;15(1). doi:10.1515/phys-2017-0092.
- 44. IFAC-CNR. http://www.Ifac.Cnr.It. Accessed July, 2018.
- 45. Campana LG, Di Barba P, Mognaschi ME, et al. Electroporation of inhomogeneous samples: from conduction field to equivalent resistance. In: 18th International Symposium on Electromagnetic Fields in Mechatronics, Electrical and Electronic Engineering, ISEF 2017. Piscataway, NJ: IEEE; 2017:1-2. doi:10.1109/ISEF. 2017.8090702.
- 46. Di Barba P, Mognaschi ME, Campana LG, et al. Electroporation of inhomogeneous samples: from conduction field to equivalent resistance. In: 18th International Symposium on Electromagnetic Fields in Mechatronics, Electrical and Electronic Engineering (ISEF) Book of Abstracts. Lodz, Poland: IEEE; 2017:1-2. doi: 10.1109/ISEF.2017.8090702.
- Suárez C, Soba A, Maglietti F, Olaiz N, Marshall G. The role of additional pulses in electropermeabilization protocols. *PLos One.* 2014;9(12):e113413. doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0113413.