Experimental joint signal-idler quasidistributions and photon-number statistics for mesoscopic twin beams
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Joint signal-idler photoelectron distributions of twin beams containing several tens of photons per mode have been measured recently. Exploiting a microscopic quantum theory for joint quasidistributions in parametric down-conversion developed earlier we characterize properties of twin beams in terms of quasidistributions using experimental data. Negative values as well as oscillating behavior in the quantum region are characteristic for the subsequently determined joint signal-idler quasidistributions of integrated intensities. Also the conditional and difference photon-number distributions are shown to be sub-Poissonian and sub-shot-noise, respectively.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The process of spontaneous parametric down-conversion [1–3] is one of the fundamental nonlinear quantum processes that can be understood in terms of created and annihilated photon pairs. This highly nonclassical origin of the generated optical fields is responsible for their unusual properties. They have occurred to be extraordinarily useful in verification of fundamental laws of quantum mechanics using tests of Bell inequalities [3], generation of Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger states [4], demonstration of quantum teleportation [5], quantum cryptography [6], dense coding, and many other “quantum protocols” [7]. Fields composed of photon pairs have already found applications, e.g., in quantum cryptography [6] or metrology [8]. The description of this process has been elaborated from several points of view for beams containing just one photon pair with a low probability [9–13] as well as for beams in which many photon pairs occur [14]. Also stimulated emission of photon pairs has been investigated [15–17].

Recent experiments [18–22] (and references therein) have provided experimental joint signal-idler photoelectron distributions of twin beams containing up to several thousands of photon pairs. Extremely sensitive photodiodes, special single-photon avalanche photodiodes [23], superconducting bolometers [24], time-multiplexed fiber-optics detection loops [25–28], intensified charge-coupled device cameras [19,29], or methods measuring attenuated beams [30,31] are available at present as detection devices able to resolve photon numbers. Also homodyne detection has been applied to determine intensity correlations of twin beams [32,33]. These advances in experimental techniques have stimulated the development of a detailed microscopic theory able to describe such beams and give an insight into their physical properties. A theory based on multimode description of the generated fields has been elaborated both for spontaneous [34] as well as stimulated processes [35]. This theory allows one to determine the joint signal-idler quasidistribution of integrated intensities from measured joint signal-idler photoelectron distributions. Considering phases of multimode fields generated in this spontaneous process to be completely random, the joint signal-idler quasidistribution of integrated intensities gives us a complete description of the generated twin beams. As a consequence of pairwise emission the quasidistribution of integrated intensities has a typical shape and attains negative values in some regions. This quasidistribution has been already experimentally reached [19,20] for twin beams containing up to several tens of photon pairs but with mean numbers of photons per mode being just a fraction of one. Here, we report on experimental determination of the joint signal-idler quasidistribution of integrated intensities for twin beams containing several tens of photons per mode. Such a system may be considered as mesoscopic and this makes its properties extraordinarily interesting for an investigation.

Photon-number distributions and quasidistributions of integrated intensities provided by theory are contained in Sec. II. Section III is devoted to the analysis of experimental data. Conclusions are drawn in Sec. IV.

II. PHOTON-NUMBER DISTRIBUTIONS AND QUASIDISTRIBUTIONS OF INTERA TED INTENSITIES

In the experiment, whose layout is sketched in Fig. 1 (for details, see [21]), the third harmonic field (wavelength 349 nm and time duration 4.45 ps) of an amplified mode-locked Nd:YLF laser with repetition rate of 500 Hz (High Q Laser Production, Hohenems, Austria) is used to pump parametric down-conversion in a BBO crystal (Fujian Castech Crystals, Fuzhou, China) cut for type-I interaction. The down-converted beams at wavelengths of 632.8 and 778.2 nm are selected by two 100 μm diameter apertures and directed into two amplified pin photodiodes (S5973-02)
Multimode theory of down-conversion developed in [34] using a generalized superposition of signal and noise provides the following relations between the above mentioned experimental quantities and quantum noise coefficients $B_1$, $B_2$, $D_{12}$, and the number $M$ of modes:

\[
\langle W_i \rangle = M B_i,
\]
\[
\langle (\Delta W_i)^2 \rangle = MB_i^2, \quad i = 1, 2,
\]
\[
\langle \Delta W_i \Delta W_j \rangle = M |D_{12}|^2. \quad (3)
\]

The coefficient $B_i$ gives mean number of photons in mode $i$ and $D_{12}$ characterizes mutual correlations between the signal and idler fields. Inverting relations in Eqs. (3) we arrive at the expressions for parameters $B_1$, $B_2$, $M$, and $D_{12}$:

\[
B_i = \langle (\Delta W_i)^2 \rangle / \langle W_i \rangle,
\]
\[
M = \langle W_i \rangle^2 / \langle (\Delta W_i)^2 \rangle, \quad i = 1, 2,
\]
\[
|D_{12}| = \sqrt{\langle \Delta W_1 \Delta W_2 \rangle / M}. \quad (4)
\]

As follows from Eqs. (4), the number $M$ of modes can be determined from experimental data measured either in the signal or idler field. This means that the experimental data give two numbers $M_1$ and $M_2$ of modes as a consequence of nonperfect alignment of the setup and nonperfect exclusion of noise from the data. On the other hand, there occurs only one number $M$ of modes (number of degrees of freedom) in the theory [34] as it assumes that all pairs of mutually entangled signal- and idler-field modes are detected at both detectors. Precise fulfillment of this requirement can hardly be reached under real experimental conditions. However, experimental data with $M_1 \approx M_2$ can be obtained.

Joint signal-idler photon-number distribution $p(n_1, n_2)$ for multithermal field with $M$ degrees of freedom and composed of photon pairs can be derived in the form [34]

\[
p(n_1, n_2) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(M)} (1 + B_1 + B_2 + K)^{n_1+n_2} \prod_{i=1}^{n_1} \Gamma(1 + B_i) \prod_{i=1}^{n_2} \Gamma(1 + B_i + B_2 + K)
\]

\[
\times \sum_{r=0}^{n_2} \binom{n_2}{r} \frac{\Gamma(n_1 + M + r)}{(1 - n_1 - n_2 + r)!} \times \frac{\Gamma(n_1 + M + r)}{(1 + B_1 + B_2 + K)^r}
\]

(5)

The determinant $K$ introduced in Eq. (5) and given by the expression

\[
K = B_1 B_2 - |D_{12}|^2 \quad (6)
\]

is crucial for the judgement of classicality of a field. Negative values of the determinant $K$ mean that a given field cannot be described classically as in case of the considered field composed of photon pairs. In Eq. (5), the quantities $B_i + K$ and $B_2 + K$ cannot be negative and can be considered as characteristics of fictitious noise present in the signal and idler fields, respectively. The theory for an ideal lossless case gives $K = B_1 = B_2$ together with the joint photon-number distribution $p(n_1, n_2)$ in the form of diagonal Mandel-Rice distribution. On the other hand, inclusion of losses and external noise results in nondiagonal photon-number distribution $p(n_1, n_2)$ as a consequence of the fact that not all detected photons are paired. We note that pairing of photons leads to higher values of elements $p(n_1, n_2)$ of the joint distribution.
signal-idler photon-number distribution around the diagonal \(n_1=n_2\) that violate a classical inequality derived in [19].

A compound Mandel-Rice formula gives the joint signal-idler photon-number distribution \(p(n_1,n_2)\) at the border between the classical and nonclassical characters of the field \((K=0)\):

\[
p(n_1,n_2) = \frac{\Gamma(n_1 + n_2 + M)B_1^{n_1}B_2^{n_2}}{\Gamma(M)n_1!(1 + B_1 + B_2)^{n_1+n_2+M}}.
\]

If the number \(M\) of modes is large compared to mean values \(\langle n_1 \rangle\) and \(\langle n_2 \rangle\) (i.e., for \(B_1, B_2,\) and \([D_{12}]\) being small) the expression in Eq. (7) can roughly be approximated by a product of two Poissonian distributions. If \(K>0\) or \(K<0\), weak classical or quantum fluctuations remain in this Poisson limit of a large number \(M\) of modes, as follows from the normal generating function [34] in the form \(G(\lambda_1,\lambda_2) \approx \exp(\lambda_1\langle n_1 \rangle - \lambda_2\langle n_2 \rangle + \lambda_1\lambda_2[M])\) valid in this approximation. Thus there are always mode correlations in agreement with the third formula in Eq. (3).

Declination from an ideal diagonal distribution \(p(n_1,n_2)\) caused by losses can be characterized using conditional idler-field photon-number distribution \(p_{c,2}(n_2;n_1)\) measured under the condition of detected \(n_1\) signal photons and determined along the formula:

\[
p_{c,2}(n_2;n_1) = p(n_1,n_2) \sum_{k=0}^\infty p(n_1,k).
\]

Substitution of Eq. (5) in Eq. (8) leads to the conditional idler-field photon-number distribution \(p_{c,2}\) with Fano factor \(F_{c,2}\):

\[
F_{c,2}(n_1) = 1 + (1 + M\langle n_1 \rangle)(B_2 + K)(1 + B_1) - (K/B_1)^2 \approx 1 + K/B_1.
\]

As an approximate expression for the Fano factor \(F_{c,2}\) in Eq. (9) (valid for \(K=\sim B_2\)) indicates negative values of the determinant \(K\) are necessary to observe sub-Poissonian conditional photon-number distributions. Sub-Poissonian conditional distribution \(p_{c,2}\) emerges from the formula in Eq. (5) that is a sum of positive terms in this case. For the ideal lossless case, \(K=-B_1=-B_2\) holds and the Fano factor \(F_{c,2}\) equals 0. On the other hand, positive values of the determinant \(K\) mean that the sum in Eq. (5) contains large terms with alternating signs (this may lead to numerical errors in sumnation) and so the conditional distribution \(p_{c,2}\) is super-Poissonian. For instance, for \(K\) small compared to \(B_1, F_{c,2} = 1 + (B_2 + K)/(1 + B_1).\) We note that, in this approximation, the value of Fano factor \(F_{c,2}\) equals the value of coefficient \(R\) quantifying sub-shot-noise correlations and being defined in Eq. (11) below.

Pairing of photons in the detected signal and idler fields leads to narrowing of distribution \(p_c\) of the difference \(n_1 - n_2\) of signal- and idler-field photon numbers:

\[
p_c(n) = \sum_{n_1,n_2\geq0} \delta_{n,n_1-n_2} p(n_1,n_2)
\]

and \(\delta\) denotes the Kronecker symbol. If variance of the difference \(n_1-n_2\) of signal- and idler-field photon numbers is less than the sum of mean photon numbers in the signal and idler fields we speak about sub-shot-noise correlations and characterize them by coefficient \(R [21]:

\[
R = \frac{\langle (\Delta(n_1 - n_2))^2 \rangle}{\langle n_1 \rangle + \langle n_2 \rangle} < 1.
\]

Joint signal-idler photon-number distribution \(p(n_1,n_2)\) and joint signal-idler quasidistribution \(P_{s}(W_1,W_2)\) of integrated intensities belonging to normally ordered operators are connected through Mandel’s detection equation [3,36]:

\[
p(n_1,n_2) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(M)K_0^{2M}} \int_0^\infty dW_1 \int_0^\infty dW_2 W_1^{n_1} W_2^{n_2} \exp(-W_1 - W_2) P_s(W_1,W_2).
\]

The relation in Eq. (12) can be generalized to an arbitrary ordering of field operators [3,34] and can be inverted in terms of series of Laguerre polynomials. The range of convergence of these series is determined under the condition \(\lambda_{i}x_{i} \leq \lambda_{i,0}\) where \(\lambda_{i,0}\) is given in Eq. (15) later. These series define quasidistributions for \(s > s_{0}\).

Provided that an \(s\)-ordered determinant \(K_{s}\), 

\[
K_{s} = B_{1,s} B_{2,s} - [D_{12}]^{2} [B_{1,s} = B_{1} + (1 - s)/2, i = 1, 2],
\]

is positive the \(s\)-ordered joint signal-idler quasidistribution \(P_{s}(W_{1},W_{2})\) of integrated intensities exists as an ordinary function [34] which cannot take on negative values:

\[
P_s(W_1,W_2) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(M)K_0^{2M}} \left( \frac{K_{1}^{2} W_1 W_2}{|D_{12}|^2} \right)^{(M-1)/2} \times \exp(-B_{2} W_{1} (B_{1,s} + W_{2} B_{1,s}))/K_{s} \times I_{M-1}(2 |D_{12}|^{1/2} W_{1} W_{2}/K_{s}^2).
\]

The symbol \(I_{M}\) denotes the modified Bessel function and \(\Gamma\) stands for the \(\Gamma\) function.

If the \(s\)-ordered determinant \(K_{s}\) is negative, the joint signal-idler quasidistribution \(P_{s}\) of integrated intensities exists in general as a generalized function that can be negative or even have singularities. It can be approximated by the following formula [34]:

\[
P_s(W_1,W_2) = \frac{A(W_1W_2)^{(M-1)/2}}{\pi^{M}(B_{1,s}B_{2,s})^{M/2}} \exp(-W_{1}^{2}/2B_{1,s} - W_{2}^{2}/2B_{2,s}) \times \sin(\frac{B_{2,s}W_{1} - W_{2}s}{B_{1,s}}).
\]

\[
\sin(\chi) = \sin(\chi)/\chi, A = (-K_{s} B_{1,s} B_{2,s})^{-1/2}.\]

Oscillating behavior is typical for the quasidistribution \(P_{s}\) written in Eq. (14).

There exists a threshold value \(s_{0}\) of the ordering parameter \(s\) for given values of parameters \(B_{1}, B_{2},\) and \([D_{12}]\) determined by the condition \(K_{s}=0:\)

\[
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\[ M = 19.66, \quad |D_{12}| = 52.29. \]  

The determinant \( K \) given in Eq. (6) then equals \(-44.23 \), i.e., the measured field is nonclassical. The coefficient \( R \) defined in Eq. (11) equals 0.19 (7.2 dB reduction of vacuum fluctuations) and this means that fluctuations in the difference \( n_1-n_2 \) of signal- and idler photon numbers are below shot-noise level. This also means [see Eq. (17)] that variance \( \langle (\Delta W_1 - \Delta W_2)^2 \rangle \) of the difference of signal- and idler-field integrated intensities is negative \( \langle (\Delta W_1 - \Delta W_2)^2 \rangle = -1654 \). Negative value of this variance is caused by the pairwise character of the detected fields, which leads to strong correlations in integrated intensities \( W_1 \) and \( W_2 \). Also the value of covariance \( C = \langle \Delta n_1 \Delta n_2 \rangle / \sqrt{\langle (\Delta n_1)^2 \rangle \langle (\Delta n_2)^2 \rangle} \) of signal \( n_1 \) and idler \( n_2 \) photon numbers close to 1 \( (C=0.997) \) is evidence of a strong pairwise character of the detected fields. We note that also a two-mode principal squeeze variance \( \lambda \) characterizing phase squeezing and related to one pair of modes can be determined along the formula

\[ \lambda = 1 + B_1 + B_2 - 2|D_{12}|. \]  

We arrive at \( \lambda=0.18 \) using our data in Eq. (21) and so the generated field is also phase squeezed.

The joint signal-idler photon-number distribution \( p(n_1, n_2) \) determined along the formula in Eq. (5) for values of parameters in Eqs. (19) and (20) is shown in Fig. 2. Strong correlations in signal-field \( n_1 \) and idler-field \( n_2 \) photon numbers are clearly visible. Nonzero elements of the joint photon-number distribution \( p(n_1, n_2) \) are localized around a line given by the condition \( n_1 = n_2 \) as documented in contour plot in Fig. 2.
Conditional distributions $p_{c,2}$ of idler-field photon numbers $n_2$ conditioned by detection of a given number $n_1$ of signal photons defined in Eq. (8) are also sub-Poissonian (see Fig. 3). The greater the value of the number $n_1$ of signal photons, the smaller the value of Fano factor $F_{c,2}$ given in Eq. (9). If mean numbers $\langle n_1 \rangle$ and $\langle n_2 \rangle$ of signal- and idler-field photons are small compared to the number $M$ of modes the joint photon-number distribution $p(n_1, n_2)$ behaves like a product of two Poissonian distributions and so $F_{c,2} \approx 1$. Fano factor $F_{c,2}$ reaches its asymptotic value after certain value of the number $n_1$ of signal-field photons [see discussion below Eq. (7)].

Strong correlations in signal-field $n_1$ and idler-field $n_2$ photon numbers lead to sub-Poissonian distribution $p_{c}$ of the difference $n_1 - n_2$ of photon numbers defined in Eq. (10) (see Fig. 4).

Joint signal-idler quasidistributions $P_s(W_1, W_2)$ of integrated intensities differ qualitatively according to the value of ordering parameter $s$ ($s_{th}=0.15$ for the experimental data).

Nonclassical character of the detected fields is smoothed out ($K_s=2.66>0$) for the value of $s$ equal to 0.1 as shown in Fig. 5(a). On the other hand, the value of $s$ equal to 0.2 is sufficient to observe quantum features ($K_s=-2.53<0$) in the joint signal-idler quasidistribution $P_s(W_1, W_2)$ that is plotted in Fig. 5(b). In this case oscillations and negative values
occur in the graph of the joint quasidistribution \(P_f(W_1, W_2)\). Determination of the number \(M\) of modes for the overall field has to be done carefully because it might happen that the theory shows nonphysical results. There are three conditions determining the region with nonclassical behavior: \(K < 0, K + B_1 > 0,\) and \(K + B_2 > 0\). These conditions can be transformed into the following inequalities:

\[
B_1 B_2 < |D_{12}|^2 < B_1 B_2 + \min(B_1, B_2),
\]

(22)

where \(\min\) denotes minimum value of its arguments. If sub-shot-noise reduction in fluctuations of the difference \(n_1 - n_2\) of signal- and idler-field photon numbers is assumed (implying \(|\Delta(W_1 - W_2)^2| < 0\), even stronger conditions can be derived:

\[
B_1 B_2 \leq (B_1^2 + B_2^2)/2 < |D_{12}|^2 < B_1 B_2 + \min(B_1, B_2),
\]

(23)

and we therefore need to fulfill the inequality \((B_1 - B_2)^2 \leq 2 \min(B_1, B_2)\). Assuming \(B_1 \geq B_2\) we arrive at the final condition:

\[
B_1 \leq B_2 + \sqrt{2B_2}.
\]

(24)

The condition in Eq. (24) gives limitation to the lowest possible physical value of the number \(M\) of modes. Increasing the value of number \(M\) of modes from this boundary value the field behaves nonclassically first and then its properties become classical. The nonclassical character of the detected field is given by the condition \(K < 0\) in theory. In experiment we usually measure coefficient \(R\) given in Eq. (11) in order to prove the nonclassical character of the field given by the condition \(R < 1\). According to the developed theory \([34]\), if the field is classical \((K > 0)\), then there is no sub-shot-noise reduction in fluctuations of the difference of signal- and idler-field photon numbers \((R > 1)\). On the other hand, the situation is more complicated for nonclassical fields with \(K < 0\). Provided that \(B_1 = B_2 = B\), the negative value of the determinant \(K\) implies \(B^2 - |D_{12}|^2 < 0\) and \(|\Delta(W_1 - W_2)^2| \geq 2M(B^2 - |D_{12}|^2) < 0\). Thus the use of the relation in Eq. (17) gives \(R < 1\), i.e., we have sub-shot-noise reduction of fluctuations in the difference of photon numbers. If \(B_1 \neq B_2\), it may happen that \(R \simeq 1\), i.e., nonclassicality of the field is not observed in sub-shot-noise reduction of fluctuations of the difference of photon numbers. We note that even conditional photon-number distributions \(p_{1,2}\) can remain sub-Poissonian in this case.

The above discussion has been devoted to statistical properties of photons. Qualitatively similar results can be obtained also for photoelectrons. Quantum Burgess theorem assures that sub-Poissonian photoelectron distribution occurs provided that photon-number distribution is also sub-Poissonian \([F_m - 1 = \eta(F_n - 1)\), \(F_n\) means the Fano factor for photons (photoelectrons), \(\eta\) is the detection efficiency]. Photoelectron distributions are noisier compared to photon-number distributions and that is why nonclassical properties of photoelectron distributions are weaker.

**IV. CONCLUSIONS**

The nonclassical character of mesoscopic twin beams containing several tens of photon pairs per mode has been demonstrated using experimental data. Joint signal-idler photon-number distribution, its conditional photon-number distributions, distribution of the difference of signal- and idler-field photon numbers, and joint signal-idler quasidistributions of integrated intensities have been determined to provide evidence of nonclassicality of the detected twin beams.
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